

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,977 10/09/2003		10/09/2003	Garry Isaur		7798	
29745	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER		
JOE NIEI	H AMAR ROA	TD #204	DEVORE, PETER T			
	, CA 9178	* .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
• ,				3751		

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

UIV

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/681,977	TSAUR, GARRY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Peter T deVore	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 F	ebruary 2005.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	,					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-15 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
• • •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO.413)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/681,977

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Species 1, shown in Figure 1, of the reply filed on 2/11/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the alleged errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicant states that claims 1-9 are readable thereon, and claims 10-15 are withdrawn from consideration. However, claims 4-9 each recite a hole at the piston or second end, a feature which does not appear on the Species 1 embodiment. Therefore, claims 4-15 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Langhjelm.

The Langhjelm reference discloses an applicator comprising a housing 34, a piston 28, and tip with opening means 52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/681,977

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langhjelm in view of Zakensberk.

The Langhjelm reference discloses an applicator as discussed supra, but does not disclose a fracture line. However, the Zakensberk reference discloses a similar applicator including a fracture line 20 for fast opening of the applicator. It would have been obvious to modify the Langhjelm applicator to employ a fracture line in view of Zakensberg for fast opening of the container.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Langhjelm in view of Dombroski.

The Langhjelm reference discloses an applicator as discussed supra, but does not disclose that the tip is a rigid material defining a hole covered with a film. However, the Dombroski reference discloses a similar applicator including a rigid tip 30 defining a hole covered with a film 38 for tamperproofing. It would have been obvious to modify the Langhjelm applicator to employ a tip including a rigid tip defining a hole covered with a film in view of Dombroski for tamperproofing.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T deVore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd PJ

Petro Johla